Food Miles and Applications

Ugurluoglu E.¹, Aras H^{*}.², and Aras N.³

^{1,2}Eskişehir Osmangazi University Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Mechanical Engineering Department, Eskişehir, Turkey (¹e-mail: emreugurluoglu@gmail.com, ^{2*}e-mail: haras@ogu.edu.tr).
 ³Anadolu Üniversitesi Engineering Faculty Industrial Engineering Department, Eskişehir, Turkey (³e-mail: nila@anadolu.edu.tr)

Abstract: This study investigates the expression of food miles in the literature review that occurs in the articles published in academic journals and books. Food mile is a concept which defines the distance between production point and consumer. Calculation of food mile is important to determine losses in food production and transportation. Studying food mile that causes hidden extra costs to food production contributes national economy, supports local producer, makes reaching fresh and cheap food simply. From literature investigations, it is seen that there is no study about food miles in Turkey which is one of the developing countries in the world. Turkey is known as an independent country about cereals. In recent years, import rate of cereals is growing more than production. To prevent dependence, studies should focus on food mile investigation of cereals. Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. The concept of food miles is new for Turkey and studies should be planned for highly consumable foods such as cereals and these studies will contribute to national economy

Keywords: Food Mile, Cereal Import, Turkey

1. Introduction

The concept of "food miles" – the distance that food items travel from the location where they are grown or raised to the location where they are consumed – has received an increasing amount of attention over the last decade. Most literature on the issue has sought to make a correlation between the distances traveled by food items and the greenhouse gases (GHG) emitted in their transport. Greenhouse gases are emitted through the burning of fossil fuels, and affect air quality and global climate change, which have an effect on human health.

Socio-cultural, political, geographical and climatic conditions have identified transport methods of food throughout human history. Import has always been there as a complement to local resources. In recent years, improvements in technology and transportation, and also globalization has made international trade the first method to meet needs of billions of consumers worldwide. At the beginning of the 21st century developed and developing countries had limited products in their own geographic and climatic conditions. Therefore, foodstuffs which was produced commercially has been increased and these foods have been shipped from one part to the other part of the world.

Global food system expresses different values for countries, businesses and consumers and has different challenges. Increase in energy costs and political issues in different regions of the world, climate changes (greenhouse gas emissions) and deterioration of agriculture / food supply areas are also critical at this point. All of these affects the sustainability of food and increases food safety related questions [1].

Conventional food supply method nowadays is production in a specific location and shipping to different regions. In this situation food is supplied to consumers after a long distance. Since food mile value is low, it contributes positively to freshness, flavor, nutrients, ecological sustainability, carbon footprint, traffic and strengthens local manufacturers by establishing cooperatives.

Studies about food miles is summarized in the literature review summary table below.

Paxton, A., 1994, The Food Miles Report: The Dangers of Long Distance Food Transport. Safe Alliance, London. [2] The food miles concept was mentioned for the first time. Alliance, London. [2] Weighted Average Source Distance (WASD) and Weighted Average Source Point (WASP) were defined by the Carisson - Kanyama. Two case studies in the article showed practibility of method. The first was a comparison between the consumption origins of tomatoes and carrots and the second was a comparison beterm grape consumption origins in 1965 and 1992. WASD was calculated to determine food miles. Food miles of high export rated 16 foods which were sold to lowa were calculated and analyzed comparatively when they were supplied from local and conventional methods. Result of the study stated that the food mile. Food miles of high export rated 16 foods which were sold to lowa were calculated to determine food miles. Food miles of high export rated 16 foods which were sold to lowa were calculated and analyzed comparatively when they were supplied from local and conventional methods. Result of the study stated that the food mile concept should have been considered and nehycles of consumers should have been guided by food mile. The energy balance of locally grown and imported apples in Meckenheim Germany was studied. Less primary energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed with respect to providing local enel polyment, fruit orchards preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that an average of 4,497 km to were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten food stravel an average of 4,497 km to Waterioo Region. These imports of the studied food items with food items cound be grown or raised in dwaterioo Region of Suth-wester Ontario. The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustaniable transport to indicate the	STUDY	KEY FINDINGS
Dangers of Long Distance Food Transport. Safe The food miles concept was mentioned for the first time. Alliance, London. [2] The food miles concept was mentioned for the first time. Carlsson-Kanyama, Annika, 1997, "Weighted average Source Point(WASP) were defined by the Carlsson-sanguino noigin-tools for environmental impact analysis," Ecological Economics 23(1997) Weighted Average Source Point(WASP) were defined by the Carlsson-kanyama, Two case studies in the tricle showed practibility of method. The first was a comparison between practice of the consumption origins of tomatoes and carrots and the sconsumption origins of tomatoes and carrots and the sconsumptin analyscontrubule sconsumptin analysis, the scond was acclated	Paxton A. 1994. The Food Miles Report: The	
Danget of Long Jonation Code Manaport Jone The load multiple Control of Long Jonation Control Contenterio Control Control Control Contrel Control Contro	Dangers of Long Distance Food Transport Safe	The food miles concent was mentioned for the first time
Parameter, Unitability, Unitabilit	Alliance London [2]	The food thirds concept was mentioned for the first time.
Carlsson-Kanyama, Annika., 1997, "Weighted average source points and distances for consumption origin-tools for environmental impact analysis." Ecological Economics 23(1997) 15-23.[3] Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer Comparing food miles for local versus conventional produce sales to lowa institutions, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.[4] Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) food The energy balance of local ly-grown versus Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus The consumption original producing are to lowal institutions, Leopold Peruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Res, 125-127.[5] Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) food Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus Timported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Res, 125-127.[5] Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.J.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the Ill cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]	Amance, London. [2]	Weighted Augusta Course Distance (WACD) and Weighted
Carisson-kanyama, Annika, 1997, "Weighted average source Points and distances for consumption origin-tools for environmental impact analysis," Ecological Economics 23(1997) 15-23.[3] Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer Comparing food miles for local versus conventional produce sales to low a institutions, leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.[4] Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for more should have been guided by food mile. The energy balance of locally grown and imported apples in Meckenheim Germany was studied. Less primary energy required for domestic apple fruit used socussed with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards responder for Josephine fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut moproted Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Res, 125-127.[5] Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Yuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.LI, 2005, Food Miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]		weighted Average Source Distance (WASD) and Weighted
average source points and distances for consumption origin-tools for environmental impact analysis." Ecological Economics 23(1997) Anyama. Two case studies in the article showed practibility of method. The first was a comparison between the consumption origins of tomatoes and carrots and the second was a comparison between grape consumption origins in 1965 and 1992. Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer: Comparing food miles for local versus conventional produce sales to lowa institutions, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture. [4] WASD was calculated to determine food miles. Food miles of high export rated 16 foods which were sold to lowa were supplied from local and conventional methods. Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Networking environmental implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHC were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHC were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,97 km to Waterloo Region and support local production of an air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food Items with food items sound for 51,709 Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.J.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localif food system and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial	Carlsson-Kanyama, Annika., 1997, "Weighted	Average Source Point(WASP) were defined by the Carlsson
consumption origin-tools for environmental impact analysis." Ecological Economics 23(1997) practibility of method. The first was a comparison between the consumption origins of tomatoes and carrots and the second was a comparison bettern grape consumption origins in 1965 and 1992. Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer: Comparing food miles for local versus conventional produce sales to low a institutions, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture. [4] WASD was calculated to determine food miles. Food miles of high export rated 16 foods which were sold to lowa were calculated and analyzed comparatively when they were supplied from local and conventional methods. Result of the study stated that the food mile concept should have been considered and the choices of consumers should have been guided by food mile. Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally grown versus Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Ilocal fruit such as S2 and EUREP-GAP, networking and other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated to determine the effects. Imports of S8 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to consumer had been also critical issues. An investigation was pandied to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region and Support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of S8 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to consumption easing an anually, contributing to grom or aised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air guality, which both had an effect on human or aised in Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. <	average source points and distances for	 Kanyama. Two case studies in the article showed
Impact analysis." Ecological Economics 23(1997) the consumption origins of tomatees and carrots and the second was a comparison beterm grape consumption origins in 1965 and 1992. WASD was calculated to determine food miles. Food miles food miles for local versus conventional produce sales to low a institutions, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture. [4] VASD was calculated to determine food mile. The energy balance of local yrown and imported apples fin local sets to low a institution. Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus fund have been guided by food mile. The energy balance of locally grown and imported apples fin Meckhenheim Germany was studied. Less primary energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards protection study stated that the choices of locall production. The result of study stated that of local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. WASD, WARE, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health. [6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.L., 2005, Pood Miles: An assessment of the study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food ytsem, wer	consumption origin-tools for environmental	practibility of method. The first was a comparison between
15-23.[3] second was a comparison beterm grape consumption origins in 1965 and 1992. Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer: Comparing food miles for local versus conventional produce sales to lowa institutions, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.[4] WASD was calculated to determine food miles. Food miles or figh export rated 16 foods which were sold to lowa were calculated and analyzed comparatively when they were supplied from local and conventional methods. Result of the study stated that the food mile concept should have been quided by food mile. Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance of Locally-grown versus preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollution and Support Local production of apple, workforce, quality stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,49 km to consumer had been also critical issues. Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,49 km to Waterloo Region or southered Region and support local production to global climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Yuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system	impact analysis." Ecological Economics 23(1997)	the consumption origins of tomatoes and carrots and the
origins in 1965 and 1992. WASD was calculated to determine food miles. Food miles Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer; Comparing food miles for local versus; conventional produce sales to lowa institutions; Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.[4] Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for The energy balance of Locally-grown versus; Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus; Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Iccal fruit such as QS and EUREP-GAP, networking and other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that the foor local argoware systems for local grow was handled to provide awareness about for ol system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Food Miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Food Miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]	15-23.[3]	second was a comparison beterrn grape consumption
WASD was calculated to determine food miles. Food miles of high export rated 16 foods which were sold to lowa were calculated and analyzed comparatively when they were calculated and analyzed comparatively when they were supplied from local and conventional methods. Result of the study stated that the food mile concept should have been considered and the choices of consumers should have been considered and the choices of consumers should have been considered and the choices of method thave been considered and the choices of consumers should have been guided by food mile. Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally grown versus preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for the contryside, quality assurance systems for the factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L 2005, Food Miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The substantial potential to reduce environmental food miles: An assessment of the substant of advised food wiles with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L 2005, Food Miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system, wee		origins in 1965 and 1992.
Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer: Comparing food miles for local versus Comparing food miles for local versus conventional produce sales to lowa institutions, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.[4] Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally grown versus Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Res, 125-127.[5] Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Yuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]	(WASD was calculated to determine food miles. Food miles
Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer: Comparing food miles for local versus conventional produce sales to lowa institutions, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.[4] Bianke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus protect Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Res., 125-127.[5] Warer calculated and analyzed comparatively when they were supplied from local and conventional methods. Res., 125-127.[5] Warer calculated and analyzed comparatively when they were supplied from local and conventional methods. Res., 125-127.[5] Warer calculated and analyzed comparatively when they were supplied from local and conventional methods. Res., 125-127.[5] Warer calculated to determine the food miles and greenry was studied. Less primary energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards and other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. Nuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.LL, 2005, Food miles: An assessment of the study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system, weekly f		of high export rated 16 foods which were sold to lowa
Comparing food miles for local versus were supplied from local and conventional methods. Conventional produce sales to lowa institutions, Result of the study stated that the food mile concept should have been considered and the choices of consumers should have been guided by food mile. Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for The energy balance of locally grown and imported apples in Meckenheim Germany was studied. Less primary energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for local production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.L. 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of th full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system, Weekly food consystem, therefore increasing loc	Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer:	were calculated and analyzed comparatively when they
conventional produce sales to lowa institutions, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.[4] Result of the study stated that the food mile concept should have been guided by food mile. Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus proted Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Res, 125-127.[5] The energy balance of locally grown and imported apples in Meckenheim Germany was studied. Less primary energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards protect Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Res, 125-127.[5] Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environ Sci & Pollut Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] An investigation was handled to provide awareness about An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005; Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of th full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system, and sustainable investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food singer sradical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time, globalization wil	Comparing food miles for local versus	were supplied from local and conventional methods
Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.[4] Intestid of the Study stated that the choices of consumers should have been guided by food mile. Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Polluti The energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards that Go and EURP-GAP, networking and other factors favouring regional production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of S8 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system, Weekly food mode cost in the UK food system, Weekly food mode cost in the UK meekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]	conventional produce sales to lowa institutions,	Pacult of the study stated that the food mile concent
Should have been considered and the foldes of consumers should have been guided by food mile. The energy balance of locally grown and imported apples in Meckenheim Germany was studied. Less primary energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for local fruit such as QS and EUREP-GAP, networking and other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or sized in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming. Jocalised food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UK food system. Weekly food policy, 1-19.[7]	Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.[4]	should have been considered and the choices of
Consumer's should have been guided by tood mile. The energy balance of locally grown and imported apples in Meckenheim Germany was studied. Less primary energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for local fruit such as QS and EUREP-GAP, networking and other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports acounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the		should have been considered and the choices of
Intelementy balance of locally grown and imported apples in Meckenheim Germany was studied. Less primary energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for local protection. The result of study stated that for local production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Yuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UK food system weekly food policy, 1-19.[7]	0	consumers should have been guided by food mile.
Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for in Meckenheim Germany was studied. Less primary Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for local fruit such as QS and EUREP-GAP, networking and Res, 125-127.[5] other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. An investigation was handled to provide awareness about Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Waterloo Region. These imports accunted for 31,709 Kuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Public Health.[6] Waterloo Region. These imports accunted for 31,709 Kuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region. These imports accunted for 31,709 Sinnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air quality as assured from Waterloo Region		The energy balance of locally grown and imported apples
Banke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut local fruit such as QS and EUREP-GAP, networking and Res, 125-127.[5] other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles: Environmental food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food litems sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system week (more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local foo		in Meckenheim Germany was studied. Less primary
Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollul local fruit such as QS and EUREP-GAP, networking and Res, 125-127.[5] other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable rentry J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food The study asscessed a var		energy required for domestic apple fruit was discussed
Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollut local fruit such as QS and EUREP-GAP, networking and Res, 125-127.[5] other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental most of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005,	Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for	with respect to providing local employment, fruit orchards
Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR – Environ Sci & Pollutlocal fruit such as QS and EUREP-GAP, networking and other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues.Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6]An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario.Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system.Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra cost of f 2.91 per week{ more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production <br< td=""><td>Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus</td><td>preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for</td></br<>	Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus	preserving the countryside, quality assurance systems for
Res, 125-127.[5] other factors favouring regional production. The result of study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UK food system. Weekly food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food sys	Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR - Environ Sci & Pollut	local fruit such as QS and EUREP-GAP, networking and
study stated that for local production of apple, workforce, quality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system, Weekly food consumption cost in the UNE food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UNE food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and	Res, 125-127.[5]	other factors favouring regional production. The result of
yuality control system, transportation from field to consumer had been also critical issues. An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gases in Canada Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Nuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Needed to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of f 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the fod system, therefore increasing local production will b		study stated that for local production of apple, workforce.
Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]		quality control system transportation from field to
An investigation was handled to provide awareness about food miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterloo Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UK food system. Weekly food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		consumer had been also critical issues
Number of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]Number of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]Number of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]Number of the UK weekly cond basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]Number of the VK weekly cond basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]Nume to Policy and Policy and Policy and P	2	An investigation was handled to provide awareness about
Nuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6]Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario.Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		feed miles and green house gasses in Canada Waterles
Number of the use were the use of the u		Peolon and support local production WASD WASD, CHC
 Were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of S8 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region, Segion of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] Were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of S8 commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and 		Region and support local production. WASD, WAER, GHG
Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6]Commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario.Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UK food system. Weekly food policy, 1-19.[7]Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the UK food system. Weekly food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		were calculated to determine the effects. Imports of 58
 Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709 tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and 		commonly eaten foods travel an average of 4,497 km to
Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] Policy, 1-19.[7] Honding Content of the total cost of the Substantial potential to reduce environmental costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and	Xuereb M 2005 Food Miles: Environmental	Waterloo Region. These imports accounted for 51,709
Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[6] change and air quality, which both had an effect on human health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario. Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and	Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo	tonnes of GHG emissions annually, contributing to climate
Neglon, Neglon of Waterloo Public Health [0]health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario.Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and	Pegion Region of Waterloo Public Health [6]	change and air quality, which both had an effect on human
Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and	Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.[o]	health. Since all of the studied food items could be grown
exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario.Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system.Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		or raised in Waterloo Region, a significant opportunity
and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario.Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system.Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		exist to reduce our contribution to global climate change
Items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or South-western Ontario.Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system.Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		and air pollution by replacing imports of the studied food
Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system.Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		items with food items sourced from Waterloo Region or
Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of organic farming, localised food systems and sustainable transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce environmental costs in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		South-western Ontario
Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] Policy, 1-19.[7] Policy interview of the total cost of the total		The study assessed a variety of scenarios for adoption of
Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] Policy, 1-19.[7] Bolicy in the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy in the UK		organic forming, localized food customs and custoinable
Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] difference for the total cost of the total cos	Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7]	transport to indicate the substantial potential to advice
Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] environmental costs in the UK food system. Weekly food consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		transport to indicate the substantial potential to reduce
Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] consumption cost in the United Kingdom were investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		environmental costs in the UK food system.Weekly food
Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		consumption cost in the United Kingdom were
full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.[7] miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		investigated. Farming was compared with the cost of food
Policy, 1-19.[7] hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 % of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time , globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		miles. When food was supplied from abroad, it brought
of the total cost). Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time, globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		hidden extra costs of £ 2.91 per week(more than 11.8 %
requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the same time, globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		of the total cost). Increasing the local food production
same time, globalization will continue in the food system, therefore increasing local production will be though and		requires radical changes in entire supply chain. At the
therefore increasing local production will be though and		same time, globalization will continue in the food system.
		therefore increasing local production will be though and
affect net profit of product		affect net profit of product

STUDY	KEY FINDINGS
Saunders C., Barber A., 2008, Carbon Footprints, Life Cycle Analysis, Food Miles: Global Trade Trends and Market Issues, Political Science, 73- 88.[8] Weber C. L., Matthews H. S., 2008, Food-Miles and the Relative Climate Impacts of Food Choices in the United States, Environmental Science and Technology, 3508-3513.[9]	Carbon footprint, life-cycle analysis and food miles about foods which were consumed in New Zealand were investigated. It is suggested that investigators should have focused on not only food miles, but also carbon footprint. Effects of food miles and climate changes on consumer choices were examined. The effect of food miles on sustainability of America's food consumption was investigated by using input-output life cycle evaluation. The study shows that some food types are much less GHG- intensive than others, any attempt to change consumer behavior based on only one dimension of food choice is
Wynen E., Vanzetti, D., 2008, No Through Road: The Limitations of Food Miles, ADB Institute Working Paper No. 118 [10]	unlikely to be effective Study stated that the generally-accepted concept of food miles focused on primarily or exclusively on distance traveled. Even the more sophisticated version, which takes into account energy use and harmful emissions produced during transport, is misleading because reductions in these two factors may be offset by increased energy use and emissions in local production. A lifecycle analysis may address this problem, but still does not incorporate primary inputs such as labor, capital, and other intermediate inputs such as fuel and fertilizer with their polluting effects. Rather than restricting travel, a better approach would be to price all environmentally damaging inputs appropriately.
Coley D., Howard M., Winter M., 2009, Local food, food miles and carbon emissions: A comparison of farm shop and mass distribution approaches, Food Policy, 150-155.[11]	Food miles and carbon emissions of food production in USA were investigated. The findings suggested that if a customer drove a round-trip distance of more than 6.7 km in order to purchase their organic vegetables, their carbon emissions were likely to be greater than the emissions from the system of cold storage, packing, transport to a regional hub and final transport to customer's doorstep used by large-scale vegetable box suppliers. Consequently, some of the ideas behind localism in the food sector might need to be revisited.
Kissinger M., 2012, International trade related food miles – The case of Canada, Food Policy, 171-178.[1]	Annual import of Canada was evaluated with food miles. 30% of the agricultural and food commodities consumed in Canada are imported, resulting in 'food miles' of over 61 billion tonnes km, leading to annual emissions of 3.3 million metric tones of CO2. Of the various agriculture and food commodities studied, fruits and vegetables had the highest food miles related emissions.
Schnell S. M., 2013, Food miles, local eating, and community supported agriculture- putting local food in its place, Agric Hum Values, 615-628[12]	Study indicated that"Local" food was not primarily about distance from the source of the food. Instead, it is much more about the establishment of connections between food consumption and food production, and firmly rooting these in a specific place. "Local," then, unlike food miles, is not really a spatial concept at all. Food, for many, has become an important part of direct experience and sensory input in shaping their experience of place.

TABLE I	(continued):	literature	review	summary
---------	--------------	------------	--------	---------

Studies in the literature underlines that labor, seasonality, green house emissions, carbon food print and flavor should be focused on as well as food miles. Increasing the local food production requires radical changes in entire supply chain. The concept of food miles is new for Turkey and studies should be planned for highly consumable foods such as cereals and these studies will contribute to national economy.

2. Definition and Calculation of Food Miles

"Food miles" is defined as the distance between growing destination of food and the consumer. Food miles has become important definition that defines distance from field to consumer for food professionals [4]. As stated in the Kyoto Protocol, the political importance of climate change is increasing and issues such as food mile are also gaining in value day by day [8]. The continually increasing trend about both of organic and locally grown foods in the U.S. and EU shows that consumers are more important about how their food is produced and where it comes from.

The concept of sustainability gains value nowadays. Food miles has become common on the concept of sustainability [9]. Various tools have been brought to bear to analyze the problems of sustainable agriculture, the chosen method often primarily depending on the way sustainability is viewed and the background of the investigator. As the environmental impacts of global agro-food systems have been exposed the concepts of 'local food' and 'food miles' have become powerful polemical tools in policy discourses built around sustainable agriculture and alternative food systems. To reduce food miles implies the need for food systems grounded in local ecologies and responsive to consumer demands for quality food, hence the growing literature on the benefits of a more localized food supply system [11].

A food mile is the distance food travels from where it is grown or raised to where it is ultimately purchased by the consumer or end user. It is relatively easy to calculate food miles for a single ingredient, unprocessed food product from the time it leaves the farm until it is purchased. To obtain the average food miles a certain food item travels from all sources to reach a certain city or community, it is necessary to calculate a Weighted Average Source Distance (WASD). The WASD from production source to consumption endpoint is a single distance figure that combines information on distances from producers to consumers and the amount of food product transported. The formula which is developed by Annika Carlsson-Kanyama in 1997 for the WASD is:

$$WASD = \frac{\sum (v(k) \cdot d(k))}{\sum v(k)}$$
(1)

Where:

WASD: weighted averaged source distance(km)

k: different locations of the production origin

v: value (\$) of imports from each location of production origin, and

d: distance (km) from each location of production origin to the point of consumption [4]

Most of studies in the literature made a correlation between distance to food and greenhouse gases (GHG). Greenhouse gases are emitted while burning of fossil fuels, and affect air quality, human health and global climate change.

The WAER is the average amount of GHG emissions (in kg) created by each kg of a food item in its travel from point of production to consumption. The formula was created by Lifecyles (2004).

$$WAER = \frac{\sum v(k) d(k) e(k)}{\sum v(k)}$$
(2)

Where:

WAER: Weighted average emissions value(g/ton)

k: Production location

v: value (\$) of imports from each location of production origin

d: distance (km) from each location of production origin to the point of consumption

e: greenhouse gas (GHG) emission level (g/T-km) for mode of transport

Greenhouse emissions value(e) is according to the transportation method. Values of greenhouse (GHG) emissions by mode of transport (the "e" variable in the formula to calculate WAER) which are obtained from Environment Canada (2002) is shown in Table 1. Values represent the average amount of greenhouse gas emissions emitted by the four different transport modes. The GHG emissions are mostly carbon dioxide (CO₂), but also include nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄) converted into equivalent units of CO₂ based on their global warming potential.

TIDEE II. OHO EIIIIS	sions by mansport mode [0]		
Transport Mode	GHG emission levels		
	(g per ton-km)		
Air	1,101.0		
Marine	130.3		
Rail	21.2		
Truck	269.9		

TABLE II: GHG Emissions by Transport Mode [6]

The study used following formula to calculate how many tones of GHG emissions are created by imports of each selected food [6]:

$$GHG = WAER. c. i \tag{3}$$

Where:

GHG: Green house gasses(ton)

WAER: the average amount (kg) of GHGs emitted for each kg of a food item imported c: the total consumption of the food item(kg)

i: the proportion that imports make up of domestic consumption (%)

3. Importance Of Future "Food Mile" Studies About Cereal In Turkey

Turkey's population is growing by steady rate, according to the data from the Turkish Statistical Institute. Figures for 2015 indicate a growth rate of 13.4, higher than the 13.3 of the previous year. The population grew by 1.45 million people in the past year to 78.741.053 according to official records. Figure 1 shows that population is growing by steady rate. This population growing trend affects food demand of Turkey directly.



Total import of Turkey in US dollars is given in Figure 2. Imports are increasing in recent years. This is related with population growing rate and total production volume of industry in Turkey.



Fig. 2. Total Import of Turkey(Billion USD) [13].

Cereal import over total import in Turkey is depicted in Figure 3. Figure 3 shows that general aim of cereal import rate in total import is stable by years. Despite stability of cereal import rate, cereal import is growing according to increase in total imports. On the other hand, in 2015 there is approximately 2 times increase in cereal import comparing with the year before. Average percentage of cereal imports over total imports is 3,77% from 2008 to 2015. Although Turkey has been known to be self-sufficient in cereals. Figure 3 depicts that Turkey has gradually become import dependent in cereals. By increasing local production, import rate of cereals and also dependence in cereals will decrease.



Fig. 3. Cereal Import(Tonne) over Total Import(Tonne) in Turkey[13].

Usable production and imports of cereals in Turkey is depicted in Figure 4. Usable production and also imports are increasing. But the growing rate of imports are greater than growing rate of usable production by years.



Fig. 4. Usable Production and Imports of Cereals in Turkey[13].

Cereal types which is imported from other countries are given in Figure 5. Since tonnage of cereal imports are focused, most four imported cereals are wheat, maize, barley and oat. Import of wheat and maize which has an important place in essential nutrients are approximately 90% of total cereal import.





Wheat and maize import tonnages are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7. Although in 2000/01 term, 2% of wheat need were supplied from import, in 2014/15 term 24,4 of wheat need were supplied from import. It is related with efficiency and cost of wheat production in Turkey. State aid about wheat production should be improved to support farmer. Maize need of Turkey is highly growing, for the reason that glucose usage rate instead of sugar for industrial food production plants are increasing. Rate of maize import over usable production is stable. For instance, in 2000/01 term, 25,3% of maize need were supplied from import, in 2014/15 term 25,1 of wheat need were supplied from import.



Fig. 6. Usable Production and Import of Wheat in Turkey[13].



Fig. 7. Usable Production and Import of Maize in Turkey[13].

According to the import values in Turkey, food mile concept especially on cereals is recommended to be investigated. Application of this concept will affect positively to country economy. Supporting local production and optimizing distances between ports will prevent losses during transportation and production. Turkey saves energy and pollute less after application of food miles.

4. The Result and Discussion

There are several studies about food mile concept in the literature. In first years when food mile concept was newly discussed, food mile was only distance between production point and consumer. Actual studies in the literature underlines that labor, seasonality, green house emissions, carbon food print and flavor should be focused on as well as food miles. Studying food mile that causes hidden extra costs to food production contributes national economy, supports local producer, makes reaching fresh and cheap food simply.

Food mile approach has not been applied to consumable foods in Turkey, yet. By implementation of this concept, which has been developed in time by different researchers, alternative approaches will be determined for foodstuff in Turkey and that will contribute to Turkey economy. According to values from Turkish Statistical Institute, import rate of cereals in Turkey is increasing nowadays. For instance, approximately 20 percent of annual wheat need of Turkey are imported from other countries. To prevent dependence to other countries about

cereals, studies in Turkey should focus on this type of foodstuff. However, food mile, which is new approach for Turkey, is applicable and developable concept.

5. References

- [1] Kissinger M., 2012, International trade related food miles The case of Canada, Food Policy, 171-178.
- [2] Paxton, A., 1994, The Food Miles Report: The Dangers of Long Distance Food Transport, Safe Alliance, London.
- [3] Carlsson-Kanyama, Annika, 1997, "Weighted average source points and distances for consumption origin-tools for environmental impact analysis.", Ecological Economics 23(1997), 15-23.
- [4] Pirog R. vd., 2003, Checking the food odometer: Comparing food miles for local versus conventional produce sales to Iowa institutions, Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture.
- [5] Blanke M. M., Burdick B., 2005, Food (miles) for Thought Energy Balance for Locally-grown versus Imported Apple Fruit, ESPR Environ Sci & Pollut Res, 125-127.
- [6] Xuereb M., 2005, Food Miles: Environmental Implications of Food Imports to Waterloo Region, Region of Waterloo Public Health.
- [7] Pretty J.N., Ball A.S., Lang T., Marison J.I.L, 2005, Farm costs and food miles: An assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket, Food Policy, 1-19.
- [8] Saunders C., Barber A., 2008, Carbon Footprints, Life Cycle Analysis, Food Miles: Global Trade Trends and Market Issues, Political Science, 73-88.
- [9] Weber C. L., Matthews H. S., 2008, Food-Miles and the Relative Climate Impacts of Food Choices in the United States, Environmental Science and Technology, 3508-3513.
- [10] Wynen E., Vanzetti, D., 2008, No Through Road: The Limitations of Food Miles, ADB Institute Working Paper No. 118
- [11] Coley D., Howard M., Winter M., 2009, Local food, food miles and carbon emissions: A comparison of farm shop and mass distribution approaches, Food Policy, 150-155.
- [12] Schnell S. M., 2013, Food miles, local eating, and community supported agriculture- putting local food in its place, Agric Hum Values, 615-628
- [13] http://www.tuik.gov.tr/UstMenu.do?metod=istgosterge, Turkish Statistical Institute, 15.09.2016



Emre Ugurluoglu is a PhD. Student at Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Eskisehir, Turkey. He has been working for a FMCG company in Turkey for 10 years as a project manager. His recent researches are improvement of energy efficiency and reduction of NOx emissions in natural gas furnaces and food mile applications for Turkish most consumable foods.



Haydar Aras is an Professor of Mechanical Engineering at Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Eskisehir, Turkey. Some of his research covers energy and exergy analyses of thermal systems, energy efficiency and management and renewable energy sources such as solar and wind. He is author and co-author of over 100 papers on a national and international basis.



Nil Aras is an Associate Professor of Industrial Engineering at Anadolu University, Eskischir, Turkey. Some of her research covers energy economics and predicting energy demand, logistic, operational research. She has a lot of papers and studies on a national and international basis.