Abstract—Entity Resolution is the process of identifying and merging duplicate entities determined to represent the same real-world object. It involves identifying cases where multiple database entities correspond to the same real world entity. It is too expensive and time consuming to make pairwise comparisons among all records in large databases. “Blocking” is the process of grouping similar-seeming records into blocks and explores exhaustively. When dealing with very large data sources, it is nearly impossible to determine any fixed set of properties. Often, duplicate records do not share a common key and contain erroneous data that make record matching a difficult task. The quality of a record matching system highly depends on a good blocking approach that is able to accurately detect duplicates in an efficient and effective way. Despite the many techniques that have been introduced over the decades, it is unclear which technique is the current state-of-the-art. In this paper, we propose a novel “Block Based Dynamic Tree Structure” to enhance the blocking efficiency.

Keywords—Entity resolution, data integration, data cleaning, blocking, pre-processing

I. INTRODUCTION

ENTITY Resolution is a process to resolve duplicated representations (references) of real objects entities. It has received considerable attention and has been addressed as record linkage, duplicate detection, hardening soft databases, the merge/purge problem and reference matching. The duplication problem arises when different references referring to the same entity exist in the same dataset and are described by similar or different attributes. For example, in personal information management systems, a person may have a tuple in one dataset with name, age, home address and other personal information, and a tuple in one dataset with name, company address, and education background. Given these datasets, the task of entity resolution is to map the references to their underlying real entities and to group them together so that all the references referring to the same entity are put in the same group[2].

A general schematic outline of the record linkage process is given in Figure 1. As most real-world data collections contain noisy, incomplete and incorrectly formatted information, data cleaning and standardisation are important pre-processing steps for successful linkage. Since potentially every record in one dataset has to be compared with every record in a second dataset, blocking or searching techniques are often used to reduce the number of comparisons. The data sets are partitioned into smaller blocks using blocking variables. Only records within the same blocks are then compared in details using the defined comparison variables. The comparison vectors generated by such detailed comparison functions are then passed to the decision model to determine the final status of the record pairs. The results of the record linkage can be assessed by the evaluation model[4].

Fig 1. General Record Linkage Process.

Some of the motivating examples of entity resolution areas are Health Care System, e-Government, e-Commerce, Crime Detection and National Security, Bibliographic Citations and many other areas. Without Entity Resolution system, hospital care can suffer because records created by different health care providers are not brought together into a single patient history. A hospital has a database with thousands of patient records. Every year it receives new patient data from other sources, such as government or local organizations, It is important for the hospital to link the records in its own database with the data from other sources. However usually the same information can be represented in different formats. They could be typos in the data. The main task here is to link records from different databases in the presence of mismatched information.
The main contribution of this paper is the development of partitioning in dynamic block based dynamic tree structure to reduce the number of record pairs search space with minimal accuracy loss. A key innovation is that partitioning in dynamic block can reduce search space and linking processes to cover all the duplicate records.

The rest of the paper is organized with following sections: proposed system using block based dynamic tree structure for addressing limitations of current systems, analysis with previous methods, experimental evaluation and conclusions.

II. PROPOSED SYSTEM OF BLOCK BASED DYNAMIC TREE STRUCTURE FOR ENTITY RESOLUTION SYSTEMS

Entity Matching is an important and difficult step for integrating data. A key distinction between prior works and our approach is that previously described methods focus on improving blocking efficiency while assuming that an accurate blocking function is known and its parameters have been tuned manually. They focused on fixed size blocking for matching process and it consumes so much time of matching processes.

In **Key Creation Process**, a key for each record in the list is computed by extracting relevant fields or portions of fields. In **Sorting**, sort the records by using the created key in lexicographical order. The system uses a Dynamic Partitioning on blocks before generating the match tasks. In Reference List, we keep record of which blocks maintain which ranges. The system use flexible “Adaptive Block” to obtain high performance, to reduce search space and to cover the entire same entities block size. All records are divided into blocks according to Lexicographical Order. Match tasks are done within the blocks which are Partitioning Dynamically.

In **Mixed Block**, incomplete information will be kept in that block. Data inserted in blocks are sorted in order to be efficient for insertion, retrieving and removal of records and each of which is identified by key. It uses dynamic tree structure and Rotation which means same entities are split between child trees then merge to same child tree, will use if needed. The Proposed System is efficient due to less search space and avoiding unnecessary links to other blocks. According to the above Proposed Step, records can be retrieved efficiently. The proposed system is shown in figure 2. The System is a multistep process:

- Data Standardization
- Key Creation
- Sorting
- Dynamic Block Creation
- Dynamic Tree Structure
- Record Matching
- Record Classification

**A. Standardization**

Most real world data collections contain noisy, incomplete, incorrectly formatted, or even out-of-date data. This standardization process is employed before performing entity resolution in order to increase the probability of finding matches. The main task of data standardization is the conversion of the raw input data into well-defined consistent forms.

In name standardization, all letters are converted into upper case and remove certain characters (like punctuations) as shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Replacement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bela Williams</td>
<td>bela williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennith Showe</td>
<td>kennith showers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shane Garcia</td>
<td>shane garcia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bela Moore</td>
<td>bela moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bela Willam</td>
<td>bela willam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2 Proposed System**
B. Key Creation

The idea is to choose a high quality key in terms of accuracy, completeness and consistency. A key is defined to be a sequence of a subset of attributes or substrings within the attributes, chosen from the record. The name, date of birth, sex, and all concern data are stored in database as shown in Table I. It is created by the first three consonants of a last name are concatenated with the first letter of the first name field, followed by a gender field and day, month, last three numbers of the year of birth. If the name is only one word then it will take consonants of three words of the name. The basic key creation for this system is shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>bela williams</td>
<td>10.1.1957</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>wllbm101957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>kennith showers</td>
<td>9.10.1946</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>shwkm910946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>shane garcia</td>
<td>3.5.1948</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>grcsm35948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>bela moore</td>
<td>8.2.1989</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mrbm821989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>bela william</td>
<td>10.1.1957</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>wllbm101957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Sorting

The keys are now used for sorting the entire dataset with the intention that all equivalent or matching data will appear close to each other in the final sorted list. The keys are now used for sorting the entire dataset. Sort the records in the data list using the created list. All records are sorted according to the alphabetic manner of created key. Therefore, all equivalent or matching records will appear close to each other. If the data was not sorted, a record may be near the beginning of the array of records and a duplicate record may be near the end of the array of records.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>grcsm35948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>mrbm821989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>shwkm910946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>wllbm101957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>wllbm101957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Reference List

In Reference List, we keep record of which blocks maintain which ranges. The Reference List is the central access point of the infrastructure for managing the execution of split blocks and maintains the block data. The system use flexible “Adaptive Block" to obtain high performance, to reduce search space and to cover the entire same entities block size. All records are divided into blocks according to Lexicographical Order. Match tasks are done within the blocks which are Partitioning Dynamically. Reference List will perform the preprocessing and post-processing of partitioning data as well as matching the final results. It is also responsible for assigning the input records into corresponding blocks and retrieving the data from corresponding block.

E. Mixed Block

In Mixed Block, incomplete information will be kept in that block. Information may be omitted because user is unwilling or unable to supply it. Due to missing data values or other data quality issues in real-world data, it may not always be possible to assign entities to a unique block.

F. Dynamic Block Creation for Data Partitioning

Data Partitioning can be of great help in facilitating the efficient and effective management of big data. In this paper, the system first partition the dynamic blocks of the input records and record searching in that dynamic blocks. Data partitioning also results in faster data addressing and efficient data retrieval. After sorting the all records in the database, it was partitioned the collection of records into set of blocks in the alphabetic manner. Firstly, the created key is search in the reference list to know which blocks it should be kept. If the initial of the created key is the same as the reference list’s key then it will save in the corresponding block. Otherwise, the record must be added to the mixed block. This is done until no record remains in the data source. The same records are in the same group as shown in figure 3.

Blocking is used to reduce the number of candidate record comparison pairs to a feasible number whilst still maintaining linkage accuracy. Blocking entails a logical partitioning of the input entities such that all matching entities should be assigned to the same output partition, also called block. By restricting the match comparisons to the entities of the same block the match overhead can drastically be reduced.
Algorithm 1: Dynamic Block Creation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Number of records n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Block []</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Begin

n=Number of records
Initialize Block[]=null

For i=1..n:

Create Key
Sort records on key
Look in the Reference List to add into the corresponding block

If created key initial key==Reference List initial key
Then add to the corresponding Block[]
Else
Add to the Mixed Block

Until no records

Return dynamic block

End

G. Record Matching

A good blocking method can greatly reduce the number of record pair comparisons and achieve significant performance speed up. The performance bottleneck in entity resolution system is usually detailed comparison of record pairs. Human expert needs to specify the conditions for Equational Theory. Such conditions might involve multiple string similarity metrics and inferred knowledge.

The equational theory does not detect the second pair as duplicates. So, a distance function applied to the fields of the records. A string comparator function returns a value depending on the degree of the match of the two strings. Because pairs of strings often exhibit typographical variation, the entity resolution needs effective string comparison functions that deal with typographical variations. The proposed system use weight assigning and field comparison in Field Matching stage. In weight assigning method, it consider a match only if it has a similarity greater than or equal to threshold. In real world, using only weight assigning method cannot gain high accuracy. So, the propose system use field comparison step as an additional step in record matching stage. Example of record matching is shown in figure 4.

H. Record Classification

In the case of user query, the entries in the query fields are compared to an existing database and potential matches will be provided to the user of the system. Firstly, we have to compare selected field comparison. If the result is greater than a fixed threshold, the two values are considered equal. After that we have to compare overall fields’ comparison. The number of equal pairs of values in the two records is greater than a threshold, and then the two records are considered as duplicate. Both thresholds are set to fixed value.

According to the above Proposed Step, records can be retrieved efficiently. The output blocks may largely differ in their size depending on the entity value. The Proposed System is efficient due to less search space and avoiding unnecessary links to other blocks. The proposed system will reduce the search space for the entity matching and linkage process between different blocks. The complexity of the preprocessing steps will increase because it needs to check before splitting the entities into different blocks.

Fig 4. Record Matching process

III. Evaluation Analysis of the System

After In traditional method, assuming two data sets with n records each are to be linked, the blocking key results in b blocks, and each block contains n/b records, the resulting number of record pairs is O(n^2). This is of course the ideal case, hardly ever achievable with real data. In general, the number of record pairs is O(Σ i=1 to b n_i^2) where n_i is the number of records in block i.

In the above proposed system, create key phase is an O(n) operation; the sorting phase is O(n log n). The resulting number of record pair comparisons is O(w n), where n is the number of records in the database, w is the dynamic block size. Record Searching using the Dynamic tree is O(log n) complexity.

IV. Experimental Evaluation

The proposed system can solve different match tasks on heterogeneous Web data entities in comparison to manually tuned strategies with a state-of-the-art match approach. The approach has several parameters to configure. We use the Bibliographic DBLP-ACM, which is one of the standard dataset in the entity resolution community. The most important is the overall similarity threshold. The proposed system considers an entity pairs a match only if it has a similarity greater than or equal to this threshold. For these tests, we used the first or first two attributes listed in table4 (publication titles and authors for the bibliographic tasks). However, finding suitable parameter settings is very challenging, even for
The evaluation has shown that the proposed system proved to be very effective in that we could achieve very high match accuracy and fast execution time.
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