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Abstract—This paper demonstrates the effect of introducing the Crushed Bricks (Brick ships) in the mechanical properties of concrete prepared with recycled aggregates. Four mixes of concrete with target compressive cube strength of 25MPa were firstly casted using normal aggregate and different percentage of recycled coarse aggregate. Then recycled aggregates were partially replaced by crushed bricks. The development of the cube compressive strength at ages of 7, 14, 28 days and the tensile cylinder strength at 28 days were tested and recorded for all specimens. The results showed that the 28-day strength readings of concrete with recycled aggregate (RCA) varied in the range of ±3 percentage of those for the Control Mix. However, the decrease in the strength with the increase of the brick ships replacement was up to 20% Present of the Control Mix.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recycling concrete is one of the solutions to the depletion of natural aggregate resources and the pollution caused by construction waste from demolished old buildings. Construction waste amount to a large percent of the total solid waste, landfills and recycling concrete and masonry rubble will save space and the energy required to transport the rubble from the construction site.

The use of recycled aggregate as an ‘artificial aggregate’ could be a simple and cheap way of obtaining an environmentally friendly sustainable concrete for structural and non-structural proposes. Many studies in the properties and uses of recycle concrete had been conducted and show that recycle concrete can be successfully used for low grade applications and secondary structural member such as ground floors and pavements not subjected to high stresses.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Many building and infrastructure project that had been built in the last century are now absolute and in the near future will have to be demolished or replaced with larger structures to cob with rapid increase of population and the expansion of cities vertically and horizontally.

The rubble resulting from demolishing these structures plus the construction form rejected concrete, breakage; maintenance and over ordering can cause a big strain on the landfills and dumps resulting in over flowing. Recycling some of the concrete form these sources is the best way to achieve the maximum utilization of material and helps preserving the natural aggregate resources.

The property and use of recycled aggregate for structural or non-structural concrete have been studied extensively and numerous findings have been adopted in demonstration projects and to produce sustainable concrete.

In demolished buildings concrete rubble is mixed with other wastes such as crushed bricks. And the difference in the water absorption and specific weight and other properties will affect the physical and mechanical properties of the concrete and by studying the affect of different percentages of crushed bricks and determining the optimum portions of the blend between recycle aggregate and crushed bricks that allow for a maximum utilization of the available material. Separating brick ships from recycled aggregate is difficult and expensive in practice. Therefore, it is important to study the effect of crushed bricks on the compressive and tensile resistances, workability, compacting and finishing in the resulting new concrete.

The use of recycled fine aggregate is not recommended and can result in reduction of the compressive resistance and handling difficulties may be experienced in conventional plant (e.g. sticking-up in hoppers). And recycled fine aggregate may contain high level of impurities.

III. MATERIAL PREPARATION AND TESTING

1) The mix design and proportions

One concrete mix was used with the same proportions expect the coarse aggregate in which the natural aggregate was partially replaced with different percentages of recycled aggregate and crushed bricks. The mix was designed according to the BS 5328-part 2 and the ST5 mix was selected with a characteristic compressive strength 25 Mpa with normal Portland cement and no additives. And the maximum aggregate size is 20 mm and the slump between (3-6) cm.

2) Cement

The cement type used normal Portland cement 42.5 N was complied with the Sudanese specification 2011 and the European specification EN 196-1.
3) Natural aggregate

The recycled aggregate was obtained from a local supplier and pass through sieves (20-4.75) mm as determined in the mix design. The aggregate was cleaned and washed and tested.

4) Recycled aggregate

The recycled aggregate was obtained from old crushed standard compressive test cubes with a design compressive resistance of 25 Mpa and an age between (6-12) month by crushing the cubes manually and passing the aggregate through sieves (20-4.75) mm to match those of the natural aggregate. The resulting aggregate then cleaned and washed and tested as shown in Fig. 1, 2. The recycled aggregate will be treated as the natural aggregate during the testing mixing and casting.

5) Crushed bricks

The crushed bricks were obtained from demolished masonry wall in the university Fig.3 about 25 years old and the crushed bricks with the mortar still attach was pass through sieves (20-4.75) mm to match those of the natural aggregate. The resulting aggregate then cleaned and washed and tested. The crushed bricks will be treated as the natural aggregate during the testing but before the mixing the aggregate will be pre-wetted because the high water absorption effect on the workability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I: THE AGGREGATE TEST RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycled aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed bricks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II: THE PERCENTAGES OF COARSE AGGREGATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III: THE MIX PROPORTIONS AND SLUMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural coarse aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycled coarse aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crush bricks coarse aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slump (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free water/cement ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total water/cement ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. CONCRETE TESTING AND RESULTS

1) The slump test

The slump test was performed to determine the workability of each of the mixes in relation to the total water to cement ratio according to the BS EN 12350-2 and the results are as shown in Table IV.

2) The compressive resistance

The compressive resistance is one of the basic and most important mechanical properties and the concrete member design is usually base on the compressive strength. The standard compressive resistance BS 1881-part16 is performed with the standard 150×150×150 mm cubes tested at the ages 7, 14 and 28 days to determine the development of the compressive resistance and the characteristic compressive strength.

3) The tensile resistance

The splitting cylinder indirect tensile resistance is preformed to determine the concrete member resistance to tensile failure and cracks. The test was performed according to BS 1881-part117 to determine the characteristic tensile strength at the age of 28 days.

V. DISCUSSION

1) Workability

All the slump test results were in the specified range in the mix design which indicates the good workability of the mixes. The mix D containing 40% recycle aggregate gives a slightly higher workability than the control mix. The crushed brick has a negative affect on the workability decreasing it by 50 % comparing to the control mix when using 40% crushed bricks.

2) Compressive resistance

The result shows that the mixes containing recycled aggregate had a compressive resistance in 28 days higher or equal to the compressive resistance of control mix. With 10% recycled aggregate the compressive resistance was equal to the compressive resistance of control mix A. The 40% recycled aggregate mix had compressive resistance equal to the compressive resistance of control mix as shown in Fig.4.

At the age of 14 days the mix containing 10 % recycled aggregate had 10% compressive resistance than the control mix and 25% mix had the same compressive resistance as the control mix. The 40% recycled aggregate mix had 2% higher compressive resistance than the control mix as shown in Fig.5.

The recycled aggregate has positive effect on the 28 days compressive resistance the mix containing 10 % recycled aggregate had the same compressive resistance as the control mix and 25%and 40% mixes had had 0.03% higher compressive resistance than the control mix as shown in Fig.6.

It had a higher compressive resistance than the control mix by about 1 MPa.

At the age of 7 days the mix containing 10% recycled aggregate had 7% higher compressive resistance than the control mix and the mix containing 25% had 17% higher compressive resistance than the control mix A. The 40% recycled aggregate mix had compressive resistance equal to the compressive resistance of control mix as shown in Fig.4.
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containing 40% crushed bricks and the decrease in compressive resistance with increase of crushed bricks is shown in Fig.9.

At the age of 7 days the mix containing 10% crushed bricks and 40% recycled aggregate mix had 7% lower compressive resistance than the control mix and the mix containing 20% crushed bricks and 30% recycled aggregate mix had 11% lower compressive resistance than the control mix A. The 30% crushed bricks and 20% recycled aggregate mix had 16% lower compressive resistance than the control mix and the mix containing 40% crushed bricks and 10% recycled aggregate mix had 29% lower compressive resistance than the control mix A as shown in Fig.7.

At the age of 14 days the mix containing 10% crushed bricks and 40% recycled aggregate mix had 12% higher compressive resistance than the control mix and the mix containing 20% crushed bricks and 30% recycled aggregate mix had 7% lower compressive resistance than the control mix A. The 30% crushed bricks and 20% recycled aggregate mix had 7% lower compressive resistance than the control mix and the mix containing 40% crushed bricks and 10% recycled aggregate mix had 42% lower compressive resistance than the control mix A as shown in Fig.8.

At the age of 28 days the mix containing 10% crushed bricks and 40% recycled aggregate mix had the same compressive resistance as the control mix and the mix containing 20% crushed bricks and 30% recycled aggregate mix had 10% lower compressive resistance than the control mix A. The 30% crushed bricks and 20% recycled aggregate mix had 17% lower compressive resistance than the control mix and the mix containing 40% crushed bricks and 10% recycled aggregate mix had 44% lower compressive resistance than the control mix A as shown in Fig.9.

3) The development of the compressive resistance

The development of the mixes containing recycled aggregate characteristic compressive resistance at 28 days in the early 7 days vary between (70-79)% and in 14 days between (79-86)% and it’s lower than the control mix as shown in Fig.10.

---

Fig. 7: Compressive resistance of mixes containing crush bricks and recycled aggregate at the age of 7 days.

Fig. 8: Compressive resistance of mixes containing crush bricks and recycled aggregate at the age of 14 days.

Fig. 9: Compressive resistance of mixes containing crush bricks and recycled aggregate at the age of 28 days.

Fig. 10: Development of the compressive resistance of mixes containing recycled aggregate.
The development of the mixes containing a blend of crush bricks and recycled aggregate characteristic compressive resistance at 28 days in the early 7 days vary between (80-87)% and in 14 days between (85-95)% as shown in Fig. 11.

4) Tensile resistance

Recycled aggregate has positive effect on the tensile resistance with an increase of 23% when using 10% recycled aggregate. The mixes containing 25% and 40% recycled aggregate had 5% higher tensile resistance than the control mix as shown in Fig. 12.

Crushed bricks have a negative effect on the tensile resistance the mix containing 10% crushed bricks and 40% recycled aggregate mix had 23% lower tensile resistance than the control mix. And the mix containing 20% crushed bricks and 30% recycled aggregate mix had 17% lower compressive resistance than the control mix. The 30% crushed bricks and 20% recycled aggregate mix had 17% lower compressive resistance than the control mix and the mix containing 40% crushed bricks and 10% recycled aggregate mix had 13% lower compressive resistance than the control mix A. as shown in Fig. 13.

VI. Conclusion

1. All the slump test results were in the specified range in the mix design which indicates the good workability of the mixes. The mix D containing 40% recycle aggregate gives a slightly higher workability than the control mix.
2. The crushed brick has a negative effect on the workability decreasing it by 50% comparing to the control mix when using 40% crushed bricks.
3. The result shows that the mixes containing recycled aggregate had a compressive resistance in 28 days higher or equal to the compressive resistance of control mix. With 10% recycled aggregate the compressive resistance was equal to the compressive resistance of control mix and with 25% and 40% It had a higher compressive resistance than the control mix by about 1 MPa.
4. Crushed bricks have a negative effect on compressive resistance and all the mixes containing the blend of recycled aggregate and crushed bricks had achieved the design compressive resistance at 28 days 25MPa expect the one containing 40% crushed bricks and the decrease in compressive resistance with increase of crushed bricks.
5. The development of the mixes containing recycled aggregate characteristic compressive resistance at 28 days in the early 7 days vary between (70-79)% and in 14 days between (79-86)% and it’s lower than the control mix and the mix had obtain more than 70% of the 28days characteristic strength in the early 7 days and obtain more than 79% of the 28days characteristic strength in the 14 days.
6. Recycled aggregate can be used with partial replacement up to 50% with little or no effect on the compressive and tensile resistances but the higher than natural water absorption of recycled aggregate need to be taken into account which might affect the workability.
7. Crushed bricks can be used with partial replacement up to 20% with little or no effect on the compressive and tensile resistances but the higher than natural water absorption of the crushed brick need to be taken into account (pre-wetting) which might affect the workability.
8. The tensile resistance for the mixes containing recycle aggregate was higher by 5% comparing to the control mix.
and the mixes containing the blend of recycled aggregate and crushed bricks had much lower tensile resistance value.
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