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Abstract-Granitic rocks are possibly the most common igneous rocks known. They belong to a class of igneous rocks that are formed from molten magma. They are classified by the minerals they contain. The rocks vary in composition from syenite to granodiorite to tonalite to true granites. With the use of X Ray fluorescence the major and trace elements are determined, and classified based on the following schemes: This geochemical classification of granitic rocks is based upon three (3) variables: FeO/(FeO + MgO) = Fe-number [or FeO total / (FeO total + MgO) = Fe*], the modified Alkali–Lime Index (MALI) (Na2O + K2O – CaO) and the Aluminium Saturation Index (ASI) [Al/(Ca-1.67P +Na + K)]. The Fe number (or Fe*) distinguishes ferroan granitoids, which manifest strong iron enrichment from magnesium granitoids, which do not. The ferroan and magnesium granitoids can further be classified into Alkalic, Alkali-Calcic, Calc-Alkalic and Calcic on the basis of the MALI and sub-divided on the basis of the ASI into Peraluminous, metaluminous or peralkaline.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Granitic magma is associated with several tectonic stages during evolution, and their structures, texture and mineralogical composition can be of benefitial and have environmental impact [1, 2]. The Older Granites of Nigeria include a wide spectrum of rocks varying in composition from tonalite through granodiorite to granite, syenite and charnockite rocks [2]. The graitoid have been emplaced into both the migmatite-gneiss complex and the schist belts and they occur in all parts of Nigeria [3]. Recent works in different parts of Nigerian Basement complex has shown that the older granites are high level intrusions emplaced by diapiric processes [3].

The generally north-south linear aggregation of many large batholiths of the basement suggests that they may be related to deep-seated pre-existing plutonic episode controlled by major deep mantle structure [4]. The intense regional deformation which accompanied and preceded the emplacement of the older granite resulted in the pronounced and wide spread of N-S trend. The granites were termed the older granites in Nigeria, and have been dated severally at 500-750 Ma [4, 5].

Minna is situated in the central part of the Nigerian basement complex and is surrounded by rugged terrain of granitic rocks. The area comprises of meta-igneous and metasedimentary rocks which have undergone polyphase deformation and metamorphism.

These rocks have been intruded by granitic rocks of Pan-African age. There is need to examine the origin, attributes and benefits of these rocks in the area.

II. SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES

The scope of the work is to carry out a classification of the rocks of the study area using major and trace elements. The work involves geologic mapping and study of samples was carried out both in the field and in the laboratory.

The main objective of the study is to carry out a geochemical classification of granitic rocks around the study area. This work therefore has the following specific objectives:

1. To carry out field work of the study area.
2. To carry out geochemical analyses for major and trace elements using the X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) method. The results of the analyses will be used to classify the granites geochemically.

III. LOCATION AND EXTENT

The area of study is around Maikunkele and falls between latitude 9°39′N and longitude 9°43′E and 6°30′E on Zungeru Sheet 163 SE and covers an area of 14 square kilometres (see figure 1). The area is accessible through a feeder road that leads from Minna to Zungeru and several footpaths which link most of the settlements.

IV. RESULTS

A. Materials and Methods

The work is divided into field and laboratory phases. This involves geologic mapping (see figure 2) and collections of samples from the fields.
In 1978, Loiselle and Wones introduced yet another term. This they called the A-type granites. They recognized a distinctive type of granite that was rich in potassium (K), had a high FeO/ (FeO + MgO) ratio and had a high amount of Zircon (Zr). They were found to be rarely deformed and intruded long after the youngest deformation, they were called Anorogenic granites. Loiselle and Wones called these A-type granites because of their Alkalinity, Anhydrous character, and presumed Anorogenic tectonic setting.

The M-type and the C-type granites were proposed by White in 1979 and Kilpatrick and Ellis in 1992 respectively. The M-type granites are thought to arise from the mantle, specifically in island arc settings [6, 8]. The C-type granites were thought to be derived from charnockitic magma and contain orthopyroxene, pigeonite and fayalite.

The M-type proposed by White was criticized based on the fact that granitic rocks of this kind could also be formed from partial melting of juvenile crust which was similar to the I-type. Another concern was that magma of mantle origin had its composition determined by other factors and not majorly its origin as rocks such as tonalite [9] and fayalite granite [7] and per alkaline granite [3, 5] is thought to be derived from the mantle also.

The alphabetic classification works on the assumptions that granitoids are formed from a single source and this can be interpreted from the chemical composition of the rocks but in reality granites arises from different sources. They are mixtures of mantle and crustal melts with or without metasedimentary components [10].

A classification scheme was also proposed by Babarin (1999) using the mineralogy of the rocks and relating it to the Aluminium saturation of the rocks. Different tectonic environment were linked to the 6 granitoid types. Babarin was able to relate composition to mineralogy but narrowed the wide range of granitic composition types into 6 rock types.

There are also other classification schemes (non alphabetic) which could be used to geochemically classify granitic rocks. They include the Fe-number or Fe*. The iron number is the ratio of the FeO/(FeO + MgO) and its variation with the silica content. This gives a History of magmatic differentiation. Some rock suites undergo iron enrichment with their silica content remaining low and vice versa (Nockolds & Allen 1956), these trends are called tholeitic and calc-alkaline rocks. Miyashiro (1970) was able to distinguish these suites using a plot of FeO/ FeO+MgO) against silica.

There is also a Modified Alkali-lime index (MALI) which was proposed by Peacock in 1931. He was able to group suites into four categories based on their Alkali-lime index (ALI) which is simply the silica content at which Na2O+K2O in a particular suite equals CaO. Where he found suites to have a value >61, he called them Calcic. In suites ranging from 56-61, he called them Calcic-Alkaline. Ranges from 51-56 and those less than 51(<51) he called them Alkaline-Calcic and Alkaline respectively. The Modified Alkali-lime index (MALI) is defined as Na2O +K2O-CaO and it reduces the three variables that is SiO2, CaO and Na2O+K2O to two. When the MALI is zero the silica content of that suite is equal to the ALI of peacock.

The Aluminium Saturation index is another useful scheme for classifying granitic rocks. In 1934 Shand proposes a
scheme using the Aluminium contained in a rock. This he called the Aluminium saturation index. It is the molecular ratio of \( \text{Al}/(\text{Ca}-1.67\text{P}+\text{Na}+\text{K}) \). If the ASI is greater than one, the rock is termed peralluminous. If the ASI is less than 1 and molecular Na+K is less than Molecular Aluminium the rock is termed metaluminous. The rock is peralkaline if ASI is less than 1 and the molecular Na + K is greater than Aluminium. This present study uses the Chappell and White’s system of classification where the samples collected were subjected to chemical analyses to show the various percentages of each major and minor (trace) elements (see Appendixes 1 and 2) and from there their source magmas could be identified.

C. Geotectonic Classification

Granitic rocks could be classified using trace elements. This was demonstrated in the analyses conducted by Julian A. Pearce in 1984. About 600 analyses were used to distinguish 4 major tectonic environments:
- ocean ridge granites,
- volcanic arc granites,
- within plate granites and
- collision granites.

The best discriminators were plots of Nb vs Y, Ta vs Y, Rb vs (Yb + Nb), Rb vs Yb+Ta). The scheme was widely accepted and is often employed as a means of determining the tectonic environments of granitoids whose tectonic settings have been lost. The result from the analyses will be used to classify the rocks geochemically. Plots (variation diagrams) can later be drawn and the rocks will be grouped into peraluminous, metaluminous and peralkaline using the Aluminium saturation index. They will also be grouped into calcic, calc-alkalic, alkali-calcic and alkalic using the Alkali lime index. The iron number will be used to categorize the rocks into ferroan and magnesian suites.

D. a. Field Observation

The rock types encountered in the mapped area include migmatite-gneisses, schists, amphibolites, quartzites and minor granitic intrusions as shown on the geological map (Figure 2; see plate III). The gneisses occupy most of the study area and include the granite gneiss and the banded (grey) gneiss. Both gneisses have intruded the schist and themselves have in turn been intruded by some granitic intrusions. The gneisses occur as hilly, massive and low lying outcrops, where they form contact with the schist (see plates I, II and IV). The schist and amphibolites occupy the central part of the study area and are bordered by approximately N-S trending units of the migmatites-gneiss complex.

Plate I: A 30cm wide Pegmatite in a granite, Zungeru

Plate II: Joint along a Pegmatite dyke at near Maikunkele.

D. b. Laboratory Observation

D. 1. Thin Section

Plate III: Major fault in a granite gneiss, close to Zungeru.

Plate IV: Multiple Pegmatites (Pegmatite Pods) along Minna-Zungeru Road.

E. Discrimination Diagram

Discrimination diagrams seldom gave a better understanding of an environment. They can be used to suggest an affiliation, but should never be used as a proof. This is all the more important the further back in time we go and the further away we move from the control of samples used in the construction of the diagrams. For example, using a discrimination diagram constructed from modern volcanic rocks to postulate an Archean volcanic setting is likely to produce equivocal results [11]. Furthermore, discrimination diagrams were never intended to be used for single samples, but rather with a suite of samples. This simple precaution will eliminate the occasional spurious results and highlight data-sets from mixed or multiple environments. Clearly, diagrams produced in the 1970’s are more fully tested than those published recently and so in general they obtain the poorer reviews. These are sometimes justified but often reflect an increased level of understanding of both tectonic
environments and geochemical processes since the publication of the diagram.

V. CONCLUSION

After the chemical analyses are carried out and the rocks classified geochemically into various fields, using variation diagrams the rocks will be grouped into granites, tonalites, syenites, granodiorites, monzonites etc.
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### Appendix 1: Chemical (Weight Percent) Composition of Zungeru Granites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F65</th>
<th>F134</th>
<th>F126</th>
<th>F125</th>
<th>F35</th>
<th>F109</th>
<th>F136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>71.45</td>
<td>71.86</td>
<td>71.62</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>14.66</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>97.28</td>
<td>99.28</td>
<td>97.90</td>
<td>99.21</td>
<td>98.90</td>
<td>98.72</td>
<td>97.79</td>
<td>97.93</td>
<td>98.57</td>
<td>98.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix 2: Trace Elements Composition (ppm) of Zungeru Granites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F65</th>
<th>F134</th>
<th>F126</th>
<th>F125</th>
<th>F35</th>
<th>F109</th>
<th>F136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>116.6</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>112.2</td>
<td>116.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>217.0</td>
<td>317.0</td>
<td>270.7</td>
<td>318.0</td>
<td>326.0</td>
<td>310.0</td>
<td>211.8</td>
<td>250.5</td>
<td>261.5</td>
<td>296.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>188.8</td>
<td>178.5</td>
<td>222.0</td>
<td>192.0</td>
<td>196.7</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>179.7</td>
<td>182.9</td>
<td>186.8</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>1,177.4</td>
<td>1,321.5</td>
<td>838.2</td>
<td>902.3</td>
<td>960.2</td>
<td>992.6</td>
<td>806.9</td>
<td>1,250.0</td>
<td>1,320.5</td>
<td>1,182.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>